1

## Self-Assessment of Problem Solving Implementation (SAPSI)\*

### **PS/RtI Implementation Assessment**

#### **Directions:**

In responding to each item below, please use the following response scale:

Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)

<u>In Progress (I)</u> — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)

Achieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

<u>M</u>aintaining (M) — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

For each item below, please write the letter of the option (N, I, A, M) that best represents your School-Based Leadership Team's response in the column labeled "Status". In the column labeled "Comments/Evidence", please write any comments, explanations and/or evidence that are relevant to your team's response. When completing the items on the SAPSI, the team should base its responses on the grade levels being targeted for implementation by the school.

_	onsensus: Comprehensive Commitment and pport	Status	Comments/Evidence
1.	District level leadership provides active commitment and support (e.g., meets to review data and issues at least twice each year).		
2.	The school leadership provides training, support and active involvement (e.g., principal is actively involved in School-Based Leadership Team meetings).		
3.	Faculty/staff support and are actively involved with problem solving/RtI (e.g., one of top 3 goals of the School Improvement Plan, 80% of faculty document support, 3-year timeline for implementation available).		
4.	A School-Based Leadership Team is established and represents the roles of an administrator, facilitator, data mentor, content specialist, parent, and teachers from representative areas (e.g., general ed., special ed.)		
5.	Data are collected (e.g., beliefs survey, satisfaction survey) to assess level of commitment and impact of PS/RtI on faculty/staff.		

#### **Additional Comments/Evidence:**

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago

Scale: Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)

In Progress (I) — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)

Achieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

 $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$  aintaining  $(\mathbf{M})$  — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

nt: Data Collection and	Status	Comments/Evidence
LS, Curriculum-Based Referrals) are collected tive systematic process.		
s (e.g., Progress Monitoring RN], School-Wide are used to make data-based		
ed to staff after each taff meetings, team gs).		
evaluate the effectiveness of		
evaluate the effectiveness of		
ent (e.g., DIBELS) data are r data sources to identify up interventions and for academics.		
data are used in conjunction ntify students needing and individualized		
effectiveness (RtI) of Tier 2		
ilized to determine response		
determination is made using ng ESE programs:		
sabilities (EBD)		
lities (SLD)		
	LS, Curriculum-Based Referrals) are collected tive systematic process.  Is (e.g., Progress Monitoring RN], School-Wide are used to make data-based  and to staff after each taff meetings, team ass).  evaluate the effectiveness of  evaluate the effectiveness of  ent (e.g., DIBELS) data are r data sources to identify up interventions and for academics.  Idata are used in conjunction notify students needing and individualized  effectiveness (RtI) of Tier 2  filized to determine response  determination is made using and ESE programs:  sabilities (EBD)	LS, Curriculum-Based Referrals) are collected tive systematic process.  Is (e.g., Progress Monitoring RN], School-Wide are used to make data-based are used to staff after each taff meetings, team gs).  In the second of the staff after each taff meetings, team gs).  In the second of the staff after each taff meetings, team gs).  In the second of the staff after each taff meetings, team gs).  In the second of the staff after each taff meetings, team gs).  In the second of the

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago

Scale:	<u>Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)</u>
	In Progress (I) — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)
	<u>A</u> chieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)
	$\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ aintaining $(\mathbf{M})$ — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur
	approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

Infrastructure Development: Data Collection and Team Structure (Cont'd)	Status	Comments/Evidence
16. The school staff has a process to select evidence-based practices.		
a. Tier 1		
b. Tier 2		
c. Tier 3		
17. The School-Based Leadership Team has a regular meeting schedule for problem-solving activities.		
18. The School-Based Leadership Team evaluates target student's/students' RtI at regular meetings.		
19. The School-Based Leadership Team involves parents.		
20. The School-Based Leadership Team has regularly scheduled data day meetings to evaluate Tier 1 and Tier 2 data.		

Additional Comments/Evidence:		

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago

Scale: Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)

In Progress (I) — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)

Achieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

 $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$  aintaining  $(\mathbf{M})$  — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

Implementation: Three-Tiered Intervention System and Problem-Solving Process	Status	Comments/Evidence
21. The school has established a three-tiered system of service delivery.		
a. Tier 1 Academic Core Instruction clearly identified.		
b. Tier 1 Behavioral Core Instruction clearly identified.		
c. Tier 2 Academic Supplemental Instruction/Programs clearly identified.		
d. Tier 2 Behavioral Supplemental Instruction/Programs clearly identified.	8	
e. Tier 3 Academic Intensive Strategies/Programs are evidence-based.		
f. Tier 3 Behavioral Intensive Strategies/Programs are evidence-based.		
22. Teams (e.g., School-Based Leadership Team, Problem-Solving Team, Intervention Assistance Team) implement effective problem solving procedures including:		
a. Problem is defined as a data-based discrepancy (GAP Analysis) between what is expected and what is occurring (includes peer and benchmark data).		
b. Replacement behaviors (e.g., reading performance targets, homework completion targets) are clearly defined.		
c. Problem analysis is conducted using available data and evidence-based hypotheses.		
d. Intervention plans include evidence-based (e.g., research-based, data-based) strategies.		
e. Intervention support personnel are identified and scheduled for all interventions.		

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago

Scale: Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)
In Progress (I) — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)
Achieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)
Maintaining (M) — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

	mentation: Three-Tiered Intervention System roblem-Solving Process (Cont'd)	Status	Comments/Evidence
f.	Intervention integrity is documented.		
g.	Response to intervention is evaluated through systematic data collection.		
h.	Changes are made to intervention based on student response.		
i.	Parents are routinely involved in implementation of interventions.		

Additional Comments/Evidence:		

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago

Scale:	Not Started (N) — (The activity occurs less than 24% of the time)
	<u>In Progress (I)</u> — (The activity occurs approximately 25% to 74% of the time)
	Achieved (A) — (The activity occurs approximately 75% to 100% of the time)
	$\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ aintaining $(\mathbf{M})$ — (The activity was rated as achieved last time and continues to occur
	approximately 75% to 100% of the time)

Implementation: Monitoring and Action Planning	Status	Comments/Evidence
23. A strategic plan (implementation plan) exists and is used by the School-Based Leadership Team to guide implementation of PS/RtI.		
24. The School-Based Leadership Team meets at least twice each year to review data and implementation issues.		
25. The School-Based Leadership Team meets at least twice each year with the District Leadership Team to review data and implementation issues.		
26. Changes are made to the implementation plan as a result of school and district leadership team data-based decisions.		
27. Feedback on the outcomes of the PS/RtI Project is provided to school-based faculty and staff at least yearly.		

Additional Comments/Evidence:		

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted from the IL-ASPIRE SAPSI v. 1.6 Center for School Evaluation, Intervention and Training (CSEIT) Loyola University Chicago