



# Problem Solving/Response to Intervention Evaluation Tool Technical Assistance Manual

Castillo, J.M., Batsche, G.M., Curtis, M.J., Stockslager, K., March, A., and Minch, D.  
August 2010



# Contact Information

## **Project Co-Directors**

George Batsche, EdD, NCSP

Michael Curtis, PhD, NCSP

## **Project Leader**

Clark Dorman, EdS

## **Project Evaluators**

José Castillo, PhD

Constance Hines, PhD

## **Inter-Project Coordinator**

Brian Gaunt, PhD

## **Regional Coordinators**

Beth Hardcastle, MA

Kelly Justice, BS

For an electronic copy of this document, go to [http://floridarti.usf.edu/resources/program\\_evaluation/ta\\_manual/index.html](http://floridarti.usf.edu/resources/program_evaluation/ta_manual/index.html).

Please contact José Castillo at [jmcastil@usf.edu](mailto:jmcastil@usf.edu) for additional information on this manual.



# Acknowledgements

The Florida Problem Solving/Response to Intervention (PS/RtI) Project would like to thank Judi Hyde for her contribution to the development of this technical assistance manual.

The Project also would like to thank all of the PS/RtI Coaches who helped collect, analyze, and disseminate data using the tools highlighted in this manual.



# Table of Contents

<b>How to Use This Manual</b> .....	i
<b>Introduction: Problem Solving/Response to Intervention and Data-Based Systems Change</b> .....	1
<b>Chapter One — A Tool for Progress Monitoring Implementation of Problem Solving/Response to Intervention</b> .....	13
<i>Self-Assessment of Problem-Solving Implementation (SAPSI)</i> .....	14
<b>Chapter Two — Tools for Examining Consensus Development</b> .....	41
<i>Beliefs Survey</i> .....	42
<i>Perceptions of Practices Survey</i> .....	60
<b>Chapter Three — Tools for Examining Infrastructure Development</b> .....	81
<i>Perceptions of RtI Skills Survey</i> .....	82
<i>Coaching Evaluation Survey</i> .....	102
<b>Chapter Four — Tools for Examining Integrity of Problem Solving/Response to Intervention Implementation</b> .....	121
<i>Tier I and II Observation Checklist</i> .....	122
<i>Tier I and II Critical Components Checklist</i> .....	142
<i>Problem-Solving Team Meeting Checklists — Initial &amp; Follow-Up Versions</i> .....	166
<i>Tier III Critical Components Checklist</i> .....	190
<b>References</b> .....	213





# How to Use This Manual

Program evaluation of Problem Solving/Response to Intervention (PS/RtI) initiatives is a critical component of facilitating successful implementation. Complex educational systems require that key stakeholders take a systems view of facilitating change and develop plans to address variables likely to relate to successful implementation. Educators' knowledge and skills; school, district, and state policies and procedures; funding streams; and myriad other factors will likely impact whether educators will adopt PS/RtI practices. Although a comprehensive strategic plan designed to address these systemic factors is a necessary condition for successful implementation, it is not sufficient by itself. Formative data-based evaluation of needs within the educational system and the impact of any actions taken should be used to guide the development of (and modifications to) implementation plans. Key stakeholders who engage in this type of formative decision-making can focus more intensely on identified issues, thus responding to the specific needs of educators and the systems in which they operate. The development of a model to evaluate efforts to scale up PS/RtI implementation, however, poses several challenges. Questions about what issues to focus on, what tools to use, and how often to collect data, among others, can be difficult to address.

It is with these difficulties in mind that the Florida Problem Solving/Response to Intervention Project created this technical assistance manual. Project staff have developed or adapted a number of tools designed to assist educational stakeholders in evaluating which systemic factors contribute to and/or hinder implementation of PS/RtI practices. Importantly, these tools align with the three stage systems change model outlined by the NASDSE RtI Implementation Blueprints (Elliott & Morrison, 2008; Kurns & Tilly, 2008). Progress can be evaluated toward (1) developing consensus among educational stakeholders regarding implementing PS/RtI, (2) developing the infrastructure necessary to support implementation, and (3) implementation of PS/RtI practices. The Project has been using data obtained from instruments administered in pilot schools implementing PS/RtI to inform scale-up across Florida. The purpose of this manual is to provide information learned from Project tools to educational stakeholders interested in using the instruments to inform PS/RtI implementation.

Each chapter of the manual highlights a specific tool created to provide data on consensus development, infrastructure building, and/or implementation. A summary of the information available on each instrument follows.

- **Description & Purpose of the Instrument:** Theoretical background, description of the instrument, and its intended use
- **Intended Audience:** Suggestions for who should complete the instrument and who should use the results for decision-making
- **Directions for Administration:** Strategies for administering or completing the instrument and examples of ways in which Project staff approached administration
- **Frequency of Use:** Considerations when determining how often to use the instrument and general guidelines for frequency of use
- **Technical Adequacy:** Available information on the reliability and validity of the instrument
- **Scoring:** Strategies for summarizing data for decision-making
- **Training Required:** Suggestions for training of individuals responsible for (1) administering or completing the instrument and (2) analyzing and interpreting the results
- **Interpretation and Use of Data:** Suggestions for analyzing, displaying, and interpreting results
- **School-Level Example of Instrument Use:** Examples of how data could be collected, displayed, and used to guide decisions made at the school-level

## ii How to Use This Manual

Educational stakeholders involved in program evaluation of PS/RtI initiatives will have a number of factors influence decisions regarding what data collection tools and methods to use. Factors such as the specific evaluation questions asked; the time, personnel, and financial resources available to dedicate to program evaluation; and existing data collection requirements will undoubtedly play a role in the design and implementation of an evaluation plan. The information included in each section of this manual is intended to assist stakeholders in making decisions about how to evaluate scaling-up of the PS/RtI model and adapt the use of any relevant instruments to their specific circumstances. In other words, this manual is not intended to describe how stakeholders in schools, districts, or other educational agencies should pursue program evaluation efforts. Rather, the manual is intended to be a resource to stakeholders in the position of evaluating PS/RtI implementation.

Potential users of this manual include all educational stakeholders facilitating the implementation and evaluation of PS/RtI practices. Specifically, the contents of this manual can assist school-, district-, and state-level personnel as well as stakeholders from other educational organizations (e.g., universities, Area Education Agencies) in their efforts to make informed decisions regarding PS/RtI implementation and its impact on important educational outcomes. To facilitate clear, concise communication of the information presented, each section describes use of the instrument at the school- and district-levels. Educational stakeholders from other units of analysis or entities can adapt the recommendations to meet their specific needs.